





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/904,197	07/11/2001	Lynn A. Russell	9725-74	1211	
	590 02/21/2003	NA PC	EVAM	(INER	
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC 1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205		ЭМ РС	<u> </u>	MILLER, JONATHAN R	
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3653		
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/904,197	RUSSELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jonathan R. Miller	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover shee					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, mappy within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6)	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	<u> December 2002</u> .					
, ·	This action is non-final.					
2) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	er Ex parie Quayle, 195	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>41-48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	nor election requiremen	ı.				
Application Papers	ner					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received	1 .				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	astic priority under 25 H	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 L	J.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)	∧ □ 1≈4	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Notes 	5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/904,197

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Examiner agrees to examine the claims of group I and group II (claims 1-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 18, 19, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 18 and 38 each recite that the screening or diverting module is non-reinforced.

 How is this possible if the independent claim requires the connection to the reinforcing support frame?
- 5. Claims 19 and 39 each recite that the reinforcing support frame is not readily detachable from the screening or diverting module. How is this possible when the independent claims require that the reinforcing support frame is readily detachable from the screening or diverting module?

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

Application/Control Number: 09/904,197

Art Unit: 3653

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18, 20 -37 and 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of 7. obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8, 10 - 12, 14, 15, 17, 19 - 27, 29, 30, 32, 33 and 35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,267,246. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan R. Miller whose telephone number is (703) 305-5778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald P. Walsh can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

jrm February 13, 2003 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600